

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/348,575	07/07/1999	CARMELO ZACCONE	Q55017	5420	
. 7	7590 08/14/2003				
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373213			EXAMINER		
			ABELSON, RONALD B		
			ART UNIT /	PAPER NUMBER	
			2666	12	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
;							
	Office Action Summany	09/348,575		ZACCONE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Ronald Abelson		2666			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t - Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ALLING MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ALLING MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ALLING From the mailing date of this communication. ALLING From the mailing date of this communication. ALLING FROM THE MONTH ALLING FROM THE MONTH ALLING ALLING FROM THE MONTH ALLING FROM THE MONTH ALLING ALLING ALLING FROM THE MONTH ALLING ALL	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire a, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONEI	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u> March 2003</u> .					
2a)□ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 9-15 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-8</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)□ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)		• •	30				
2) D Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trade PTO-326 (Rev. 0		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 12			

Application/Control Number: 09/348,575

Art Unit: 2666

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because all references to claims must be removed. See (pg. 1 lines 5-6, pg. 2 lines 8, 19-20, pg.3 lines 7-8, 25-26). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1 and 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito (US 6,523,696).

Regarding claim 1, Saito teaches a method and apparatus for an Internet forwarding method (fig. 7), for forwarding Internet packets from a host fig. 7 box 205) to an Internet (fig. 7 box 202) towards a destination host (fig. 7 box 206, 207) connected to a private Internet network fig. 7 box 201, 206, 207), where the Internet network and the and the private network are coupled through at least one edge router (fig. 7 box 204), and where the destination host is assigned a global Internet address, characterized in that the forwarding of the Internet packets from one of the at least one edge router toward the destination host, is based only on the global Internet address (col. 19 lines 16-20)

Art Unit: 2666

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 9-15 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 2-4, and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Regarding claim 2, 6, and 9 nothing in the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests a router daemon in combination with the other limitations of the claim.

Regarding claims 3, 7, 10-12 nothing in the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests notifying each router by sending a protocol message at assignment of a global Internet address in combination with the other limitations of the claims. Although Sosa (An OSPF-Based Routing Algorithm for SMDS Networks) teaches link state advertisements (LSA's) for updating routers in an OSPF environment, nothing in the prior art of record suggests the message is sent at assignment of a global Internet address.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, nothing in the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests the edge router setting up the

'Application/Control Number: 09/348,575 Page 4

Art Unit: 2666

multicast groups in combination with the other limitations of the claims.

Regarding claim 13, nothing in the prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests at least one edge router sets up a multicast group and the destination host generates a multicast join message, such that a multicast group is joined when the multicast join message is received by the at least one edge router, in combination with the other limitations listed in the claim.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, nothing in the prior art of the record teaches or fairly suggests a multicast group updating means, coupled with an input to an output of the message receptions means and adapted to interpret the multicast message containing the global Internet address of the destination host and update a multicast group in order to establish a branch of a multicast tree, wherein at least one edge router sets up the multicast group, in combination with the other limitations listed in the claim.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner agrees with the applicant's contention

Art Unit: 2666

that not all the limitations were met in the claims. Therefore, an updated search was performed.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Ra

Ronald Abelson Examiner Art Unit 2666

August 8, 2003

DANG TON PRIMARY EXAMMER